



Clinical Impact of the BIOFIRE® FILMARRAY® Gastrointestinal (GI) Panel



PIONEERING DIAGNOSTICS

BIOFIRE[®] Syndromic Testing The right test, the first time

A significant clinical overlap is seen between pathogens causing gastrointestinal disease, making it very difficult to select the right traditional test to perform on stool samples.¹

Traditional stool testing methods

Traditional methods of pathogen identification can be time consuming and lack sensitivity.²



One or multiple

samples

Multiple testing methods



Takes hours

to days



Multiple reports





Longer patient length of stay

Fast. Easy. Comprehensive stool testing.

Syndromic testing provides a streamlined workflow and fast, comprehensive results.









0.2mL stool sample in Cary Blair



Results in about an hour





Get Faster Patient Results



An 84% reduction in time to result, and a 69% reduction in time to treatment (for pediatric patients) was demonstrated by the BIOFIRF® Gastrointestinal (GI) Panel compared to traditional testing.^{3,4}

Who Should Get Tested

According to common clinical guidelines^{*}, stools from individuals at high risk of spreading disease to others and during known or suspected outbreaks should be tested.











High-risk patients: immuno-compromised or with co-morbidities

Critically ill patients

Elderly patients

The test should be performed on patients, including pediatric patients, who display one or more of the following criteria:

- Community acquired diarrhea for ≥7 days
- Traveler's diarrhea, untreated or following treatment failure
- Diarrhea with warning signs/risk factors for severe disease
- Suspicion of nosocomial outbreaks
- Persistent diarrhea

Aid Antimicrobial Stewardship



Improved antibiotic use

Compared to traditional testing, BIOFIRE® GI Panel patients were less likely to be prescribed antibiotics: from 40.9% to 36.2% (p<0.001)⁵ and from 71.8% to 35.3% (p<0.001) for pediatric patients.⁶

Increased targeted therapy

Clinicians increased the use of targeted therapy thanks to the BIOFIRE GI Panel compared to traditional testing.²



Superior Clinical and Economic Outcomes

Identify what traditional testing is missing

The BIOFIRE GI Panel increased the diagnostic yield by more than 30% vs traditional testing.⁷

Reduce length of stay

The length of hospital stay was shorter with the BIOFIRE GI Panel: 3 days vs 7.5 days compared to traditional testing.⁸

Decrease hospital admissions

The number of patients admitted from ED to the hospital decreased from 87.8% to 62.8% (p<0.0001) thanks to the BIOFIRE GI Panel, compared to traditional testing.⁸

Cut unneeded downstream procedures

Patients were shown to be 12.5% less likely to undergo endoscopy and 7.3% less likely to receive abdominal imaging vs traditional testing.⁵

Rule in/out infectious causes

The BIOFIRE GI Panel can help differentiate between enteric infection and relapse of inflammatory bowel disease.^{9,10}

Improve infection control

The BIOFIRE GI Panel enabled early adequate infection precaution and isolation in the pediatric population.⁶











*Guidelines

- Riddle, M. S. *et al.* (2016). "ACG Clinical Guideline: Diagnosis, Treatment, and Prevention of Acute Diarrheal Infections in Adults." Am J Gastroenterol 111(5): 602-622.
- Shane, A. L. *et al.* (2017). "2017 Infectious Diseases Society of America Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Infectious Diarrhea." Clin Infect Dis 65(12): e45-e80.
- Riddle, M. S. et al. (2017). "Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of travelers' diarrhea: a graded expert panel report." J Travel Med 24(suppl_1): S57-s74.
- Guarino, A., S. et al. (2014). "European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition/European Society for Pediatric Infectious Diseases evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute gastroenteritis in children in Europe: update 2014." J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 59(1): 132-152.

References

- 1. Dien Bard J., et al. (2020), Clin Lab Med 40(4): 393-420.
- 2. Cybulski R. J. Jr., et al. (2018), Clin Infect Dis 67(11): 1688-1696.
- 3. Beal S. G., et al. (2018), J Clin Microbiol 56(1).
- 4. Cotter J. M., et al. (2021), Pediatrics 147(5).
- 5. Axelrad J. E., et al. (2019), J Clin Microbiol 57(3).
- 6. Yoo, I. H., et al. (2021), Diagnostics (Basel) 11(7).
- 7. Meyer J., et al. (2020), Scand J Gastroenterol 55(12): 1405-1410.
- 8. Torres-Miranda D., *et al.* (2020), BMC Gastroenterol 20(1): 246.
- 9. Hong S., et al. (2021), Inflamm Bowel Dis 27(10): 1634-1640.
- 10. Axelrad J. E., et al. (2017), Inflamm Bowel Dis. 23(6): 1034-1039.
- 11. Data on file, BioFire Diagnostics. The stated performance is the overall aggregate performance of the prospective clinical study data presented in the IFU.

Performance

98.7% sensitivity and 99.2% specificity¹¹

Panel Specifications

Sample Type: stool sample in Cary Blair

Sample Volume: 0.2 mL



BIOFIRE® FILMARRAY® GASTROINTESTINAL (GI) PANEL

1 Test. 22 Targets. ~1 Hour.

BACTERIA

Campylobacter (C. jejuni/C. coli/ C. upsaliensis) Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile (toxin A/B)⁺ Plesiomonas shigelloides Salmonella Vibrio (V. parahaemolyticus/ V. vulnificus/V. cholerae) Vibrio cholerae Yersinia enterocolitica Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli/Shigella Enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC) Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) lt/st Shiga-like toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) stx1/stx2 E. coli 0157 Shigella/Enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC)

VIRUSES

Adenovirus F40/41 Astrovirus Norovirus GI/GII Rotavirus A Sapovirus (I, II, IV, and V)

PARASITES

Cryptosporidium Cyclospora cayetanensis Entamoeba histolytica Giardia lamblia



[†] Selective reporting available for *C. diff.*

Product availability varies by country. Consult your bioMérieux representative.

Contact Us

bioMérieux S.A. 69280 Marcy l'Etoile France Tel.: +33 (0) 4 78 87 20 00 Fax: +33 (0) 4 78 87 20 90 biomerieux.com Learn more about the BIOFIRE range of commercially-available panels for syndromic infectious disease diagnostics.

